

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 SUSAN HURTADO, individually and on
12 behalf of all others similarly situated

13 Plaintiff,

14 vs.

15 IKEA U.S. WEST, INC.,

16 Defendants.

CASE NO. 11cv2749 WQH (BGS)

ORDER

17 HAYES, Judge:

18 The matter before the Court is the Joint Motion to Consolidate Action filed by Plaintiff
19 Hurtado, Plaintiffs Yeoman and Medellin, and Defendant Ikea U.S. West , Inc. (ECF No. 30).

20 **I. Background**

21 Plaintiff Hurtado, Defendant Ikea U.S. West , Inc. and Plaintiffs Yeoman and Medellin
22 from *Yeoman et al. v. IKEA U.S. West, Inc.*, Case No. 11cv701 WQH (BGS) seek to
23 consolidate this action with *Yeoman*. The parties contend that consolidation is appropriate
24 pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42(a) because the cases involve common questions
25 of law and fact, “concern substantially the same parties, transaction and events, and the
26 potential for unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense and conflicting results exists
27 if the cases are separately adjudicated.” (ECF No. 30 at 2).

28 **II. Discussion**

Rule 42 states: “If actions before the court involve a common question of law or fact,
the court may: ... consolidate the actions....” Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a)(2). The Court has broad

1 discretion in determining whether to consolidate actions pending in the same district. *See*
 2 *Investors Research Co. v. U.S. Dist. Court for Cent. Dist. of Cal.*, 877 F.2d 777, 777 (9th
 3 Cir.1989); *see also Zhu v. UCBH Holdings, Inc.*, 682 F. Supp. 2d 1049, 1052 (N.D. Cal. 2010)
 4 (quotation omitted). The court should “weigh the saving of time and effort that consolidation
 5 would produce against any inconvenience, delay, or expense that it would cause.” *Khalafala*
 6 *v. Miller*, 2011 WL 1815405 at *4 (D. Ariz. Feb. 8, 2011) (citation omitted).

7 In *Yeoman*, Plaintiffs assert one claim for violation of the Song-Beverly Credit Card
 8 Act, Cal. Civ. Code section 1747. Plaintiffs allege that “Ikea systematically and intentionally
 9 violates the Credit Card Act by uniformly requesting that cardholders provide personal
 10 identification information, including their zip codes, during credit card transactions, and then
 11 recording that information in electronic database systems.” (*Yeoman*, 11cv701 WQH (BGS)
 12 ECF No. 25 at 2). Plaintiffs “bring this action on behalf of themselves and other similarly
 13 situated consumers in California from whom Ikea requested and recorded personal
 14 identification information as part of a credit card transaction.” *Id.*

15 In *Hurtado*, Plaintiff asserts one claim for violation of the Song-Beverly Credit Card
 16 Act, Cal. Civ. Code section 1747. Plaintiff Hurtado alleges that Ikea regularly engages a
 17 practice of “demand[ing] that [an individual] provide [their] zip code” when making a purchase
 18 with a credit card. (ECF No. 1-1 at 14). Plaintiff Hurtado “brings this class action ... on behalf
 19 of herself and ... all persons who made a retail, credit-card purchase from Defendant in
 20 California and from whom Defendant requested and recorded private information” *Id.*


21 The Court concludes that consolidation is appropriate. No later than ten days from the
 22 date of this order, Plaintiffs may seek leave to file a Second Amended Complaint in *Yeoman*
 23 *et al. v. IKEA U.S. West, Inc.*, Case No. 11cv701 WQH (BGS) to add Plaintiff Hurtado and
 24 submit the proposed Second Amended Complaint.

25 **III. Conclusion**

26 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Joint Motion to Consolidate Action (ECF No. 30)
 27 is GRANTED. No later than ten days from the date of this order, Plaintiffs may seek leave to
 28 file a Second Amended Complaint in *Yeoman v. IKEA U.S.A. West, Inc.*, Case No. 11cv701
 WQH (BGS) to add Plaintiff Hurtado. Upon filing of the Second Amended Complaint, the

1 Clerk of the Court shall administratively close *Hurtado v. IKEA U.S. West, Inc.*, Case No.
2 11cv2749 WQH (BGS).

3 DATED: March 6, 2012

4 
5 **WILLIAM Q. HAYES**
6 United States District Judge
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28